

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERS
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/883,572	0	6/18/2001	Reto Naef	4-30754A	4-30754A 2901	
1095	7590	04/07/2004		EXAMINER		
THOMAS			HAGHIGHATIAN, MINA			
NOVARTIS, CORPORATE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ONE HEALTH PLAZA 430/2			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
•	AST HANOVER, NJ 07936-1080			1616		

DATE MAILED: 04/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/883,572	NAEF, RETO	
, tarreer y riousen	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mina Haghighatian	1616	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 18 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avifinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	roid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper reply notion in places the application in the properties of the application in the second in the s	y to a ition in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 2 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amo	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the appropertion of the fee. The appropertion of the fee.	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension
 (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 	ce later than three months after the mail FR 1.704(b).	ing date of the final reje	
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) 🔲 they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	S.
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 21-33.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	it(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	,	
10. Other:			

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not persuasive and do not place the application in conditions for allowance. Specifically, the Applicant's arguments regarding Sui reference are not persuasive. Sui is clearly disclosing that method of treating ED using compounds such as sildenafil. In fact it reads " it is an object of the invention to identify compounds which inhibit phosphodiesterases, specifically PDEV. It is another object of the invention to identify compounds which are useful for the treatment of sexual dysfunction, particularly ED in male animals (see col. 2, line 64 to col. 3, line 7). Sui also tecahes that the said formulations may be in any one of the forms such as metered aerosol, powders, sprays.... for ADMINISTRATION BY INHALATION (see col. 9, lines 55-65). The Applicant's arguments that the references do not dislose a motivation is not persuasive, because as shown Sui is clearly teaching the method of treating ED by inhalation of PDEVs. It is the examiner's legal position that claims 21-33 are obvious over the references of record..

THURMAN K. PAGE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600